US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

vvV



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

012778-

MEMORANDUM

OPP OFFICIAL RECORD
HEALTH EFFECTS DIVISION
SCIENTIFIC DATA REVIEWS
EPA SERIES 361

OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

SUBJECT:

Cacodylic Acid Qualitative Risk Assessment Based On

Charles River Fischer F344 Rat Dietary Study

Caswell No. 133
PL Cole: 012501

TO:

Steven Malish, Toxicologist

Review Section IV Toxicology Branch II

Health Effects Division (7509C)

FROM:

Lori L. Brunsman, Statistician

Statistics Section

Science Analysis Branch

Health Effects Division (7509C)

THROUGH:

Hugh M. Pettigrew, Section Head

Statistics Section

Science Analysis Branch

Health Effects Division (7509C)

Jan S. Brunoma

1114/193

11/9/93

Summary

This qualitative risk assessment of Cacodylic Acid was based upon a chronic toxicity/oncogenicity study conducted in Charles River Fischer F344 rats. The male rats were fed 0, 2, 10, 40 or 100 ppm of Cacodylic Acid for 105 weeks. The female rats were fed 0, 2, 10, 40 or 100 ppm of Cacodylic Acid for 107 weeks.

The statistical evaluation of mortality indicated no significant incremental changes with increasing doses of Cacodylic Acid for male or female rats.

Male rats had no significant dose-related increasing trends and no significant differences in the pair-wise comparisons of the dosed groups with the controls with respect to tumor incidence.

Female rats had significant dose-related increasing trends in urinary bladder transitional cell papillomas, carcinomas and combined papillomas and/or carcinomas. There were significant differences in the pair-wise comparisons of the 100 ppm dose group with the controls for urinary bladder transitional cell carcinomas, and combined papillomas and/or carcinomas.

Table 1. Cacodylic Acid - Charles River Fischer F344 Rat Study

Male Mortality Rates and Cox or Generalized K/W Test Results

<u>Weeks</u>							
Dose(ppm)	1-26	27-52	53-78	79-107 ^f	Total		
0	0/60	0/60	3/60	22/57	25/60 (42)		
.2	0/60	0/60	2/60	21/58	23/60 (38)		
10	0/60	1/60	1/59	20/58	22/60 (37)		
40	1/59ª	0/58	2/58	22/56	25/59 (42)		
100	5/60	0/55	4/55	17/51	26/60 (43)		

^{&#}x27;Number of animals that died during interval/Number of animals alive at the beginning of the interval.

()Percent.

Note: Tim

Time intervals were selected for display purposes only.

Significance of trend denoted at control.

Significance of pair-wise comparison with control denoted at <u>dose</u> level.

If *, then p < 0.05. If **, then p < 0.01.

^aOne accidental death at week 12, dose 40 ppm.

fFinal sacrifice at week 105.

Table 3. Cacodylic Acid - Charles River Fischer F344 Rat Study

<u>Male</u> Urinary Bladder Transitional Cell Tumor Rates and Exact Trend Test and Fisher's Exact Test Results (p values)

	•	Dose (ppm)						
	0	2	10	40	100			
	* *	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •		
Papillomas (%)	0/60	0/59 (0)	1 ^a /59 (2)	1/57 (2)	0/55 (0)	•		
p =	0.618	1.000	0.496	0.487	1.000			
Carcinomas (%)	0/60 (0)	1 ^b /59 (2)		0/57	2/55 (4)			
p =	0.071	0.496	1.000	1.000	0.227			
Combined (%)	0/60	1/59 (2)	1/59 (2)	1/57 (2)	2/55 (4)			
p =	0.105	0.496	0.496	0.487	0.227			

^{&#}x27;Number of tumor bearing animals/Number of animals examined, excluding those that died or were sacrificed before week 53.

Note: Significance of trend denoted at control.

Significance of pair-wise comparison with control denoted at <u>dose</u> level.

If *, then p < 0.05. If **, then p < 0.01.

^{*}First papilloma observed at week 106, dose 10 ppm.

bFirst carcinoma observed at week 54, dose 2 ppm.

References

- Armitage, P. (1955) <u>Tests for Linear Trends in Proportions and Frequencies</u>. Biometrics 11, 375-386.
- Cochran, W.G. (1954) <u>Some Methods for Strengthening the Common X²</u>
 <u>Test</u>. Biometrics 10, 417-451.
- Cox, D.R. (1972) Regression Models and Life Tables (with discussion). J. Royal Stat. Soc. Ser. B. 34, 187-220.
- Gart, J.J., D. Krewski, P.N. Lee, R.E. Tarone, and J. Wahrendorf (1986) The Design and Analysis of Long-Term Animal Experiments. In: Statistical Methods in Cancer Research, 'Volume III. IARC Scientific Publications No. 79. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer, p. 18.
- Peto, R., M. Pike, N. Day, R. Gray, P. Lee, S. Parish, J. Peto, S. Richard, and J. Wahrendorf (1980) <u>Guidelines for Simple, Sensitive, Significant Tests for Carcinogenic Effects in Long-Term Animal Experiments</u>. In: Monographs on the long-term and short-term screening assays for carcinogens: a critical appraisal. IARC Monographs, Supplement 2. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer, pp. 311-426.
- Thomas, D.G., N. Breslow, and J.J. Gart (1977) <u>Trend and Homogeneity Analyses of Proportions and Life Table Data.</u>
 Computers and Biomedical Research 10, 373-381.